Quarterly Reporting Template - Guidance

Notes for Completion

The data collection template requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to track through the high level metrics and deliverables from the Health & Wellbeing Board Better Care Fund plan.

The completed return will require sign off by the Health & Wellbeing Board.

A completed return must be submitted to the Better Care Support Team inbox (england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net) by midday on 25th November 2016

The BCF Q1 Data Collection

This Excel data collection template for Q2 2016-17 focuses on budget arrangements, the national conditions, income and expenditure to and from the fund, and performance on BCF metrics.

To accompany the quarterly data collection Health & Wellbeing Boards are required to provide a written narrative into the final tab to contextualise the information provided in this report and build on comments included elsewhere in the submission. This should include an overview of progress with your BCF plan, the wider integration of health and social care services, and a consideration of any variances against planned performance trajectories or milestones.

Cell Colour Key

Data needs inputting in the cell

Pre-populated cells

Question not relevant to you

Throughout this template cells requiring a numerical input are restricted to values between 0 and 100,000,000.

Content

The data collection template consists of 8 sheets:

Checklist - This contains a matrix of responses to questions within the data collection template.
1) **Cover Sheet -** this includes basic details and tracks question completion.
2) **Budget arrangements -** this tracks whether Section 75 agreements are in place for pooling funds.
3) **National Conditions -** checklist against the national conditions as set out in the BCF Policy Framework 16-17 and BCF planning guidance.
4) **Income and Expenditure -** this tracks income into, and expenditure from, pooled budgets over the course of the year.
5) **Supporting Metrics -** this tracks performance against the two national metrics, a DTOC metric, a Non-Elective Admissions metric, locally set metric and locally defined patient experience metric in BCF plans.
6) **Additional Measures -** additional questions on new metrics that are being developed to measure progress in developing integrated, cooridnated, and person centred care.
7) **Narrative -** this allows space for the description of overall progress on BCF plan delivery and performance against key indicators.

Checklist

This sheet contains all the validations for each question in the relevant sections.

All validations have been coloured so that if a value does not pass the validation criteria the cell will be Red and contain the word "No" and if they pass validation they will be coloured Green and contain the word "Yes".

1) Cover Sheet

On the cover sheet please enter the following information:

The Health and Well Being Board
Who has completed the report, email and contact number in case any queries arise
Please detail who has signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Well Being Board

Question completion tracks the number of questions that have been completed, when all the questions in each section of the template have been completed the cell will turn green. Only when all 7 cells are green should the template be sent to england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net

2) Budget Arrangements

This section requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm if funds have been pooled via a Section 75 agreement. Please answer as at the time of completion.

If it had not been previously stated that the funds had been pooled can you now confirm that they have now?
If the answer to the above is 'No' please indicate when this will happen

3) National Conditions

This section requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm whether the eight national conditions detailed in the Better Care Fund Policy Framework 16/17 (https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/490559/BCF_Policy_Framework_2016-17.pdf) and Better Care Fund Planning Guidance 16/17 (http://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/part-rel/transformation-fund/bcf-plan/) have been met through the delivery of your plan. Please answer as at the time of completion.

It sets out the eight conditions and requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm 'Yes', 'No' or 'No - In Progress' that these have been met. Should 'No' or 'No - In Progress' be selected, please provide an estimated date when condition will be met, an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the year (in-line with signed off plan) and how this is being addressed.

Full details of the conditions are detailed at the bottom of the page.

4) Income and Expenditure

This tracks income into, and expenditure from, pooled budgets over the course of the year. This requires provision of the following information:

Forecasted income into the pooled fund for each quarter of the 2016-17 financial year
Actual income into the pooled fund in Q1 & Q2 2016-17
Forecasted expenditure from the pooled fund for each quarter of the 2016-17 financial year
Actual expenditure from the pooled fund in Q1 & Q2 2016-17

Figures should reflect the position by the end of each quarter. It is expected that the total planned income and planned expenditure figures for 2016-17 should equal the total pooled budget for the Health and Wellbeing Board.

There is also an opportunity to provide a commentary on progress which should include reference to any deviation from plan or amendments to forecasts made since the previous quarter.

5) Supporting Metrics

This tab tracks performance against the two national supporting metrics, a Delayed Transfers of Care metric, a Non-Elective Admissions metric, the locally set metric, and the locally defined patient experience metric submitted in approved BCF plans. In all cases the metrics are set out as defined in the approved plan for the HWB and the following information is required for each metric:

An update on indicative progress against the six metrics for Q2 2016-17
Commentary on progress against each metric

If the information is not available to provide an indication of performance on a measure at this point in time then there is a drop-down option to indicate this. Should a patient experience metric not have been provided in the original BCF plan or previous data returns there is an opportunity to state the metric that you are now using.

Guidance on accessing CCG based NEA numerator data from SUS via the 'Activity and Planning Report' has been circulated in tandem with this report in order to enable areas to perform their own in year monitoring of NEA data. This guidance document can also be accessed via the embedded object below.

Guide to accessing
CCG based NEA
data from SUS via
the 'Activity and
Planning Report'

NEA denominator population (All ages) projections are based on Subnational Population Projections, Interim 2014-based (published May 2016) found here:
http://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationprojections/datasets/localauthoritiesinenglandz1
Please note that the Non-Elective Admissions per 100,000 population (All ages) population projections are based on a calendar year.

Delayed Transfers Of Care numerator data for actual performance has been sourced from the monthly DTOC return found here:
http://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/statistical-work-areas/delayed-transfers-of-care/

DTOC denominator population (18+) projections are based on Subnational Population Projections, Interim 2014-based (published May 2016) found here:
http://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationprojections/datasets/localauthoritiesinenglandz1
Please note that the Delayed Transfers Of Care (delayed days) from hospital per 100,000 population (aged 18+) population projections are based on a calendar year.

Actual and baseline data on Re-ablement and Residential Admissions can be sourced from the annual ASCOF return found here:
http://content.digital.nhs.uk/searchcatalogue?productid=22085&q=ascof
Please note these are annual measures and the latest data for 2015/16 data was published 05/10/2016. Plan data for these metrics in 2016/17 were submitted by HWBs within Submission 4 planning returns and final figures are displayed within the 'Remaining Metrics Enquiry' tab of the Submission 4 report.

6) Additional Measures

This tab includes a handful of new metrics designed with the intention of gathering some detailed intelligence on local progress against some key elements of person-centred, co-ordinated care. Following feedback from colleagues across the system these questions have been modified from those that appeared in last years BCF Quarterly Data Collection Template (Q2/Q3/Q4 2015-16). Nonetheless, they are still in draft form, and the Department of Health are keen to receive feedback on how they could be improved / any complications caused by the way that they have been posed.
For the question on progress towards instillation of Open APIs, if an Open API is installed and live in a given setting, please state 'Live' in the 'Projected 'go-live' date field.
For the question on use and prevalence of Multi-Disciplinary/Integrated Care Teams please choose your answers based on the proportion of your localities within which Multi-Disciplinary/Integrated Care Teams are in use.
For the PHB metric, areas should include all age groups, as well as those PHBs that form part of a jointly-funded package of care which may be administered by the NHS or by a partner organisation on behalf of the NHS (e.g. local authority). Any jointly funded personal budgets that include NHS funding are automatically counted as a personal health budget. We have expanded this definition following feedback received during the Q3 reporting process, and to align with other existing PHB data collections.

7) Narrative

In this tab HWBs are asked to provide a brief narrative on overall progress, reflecting on performance in Q2 16/17.
A recommendation would be to offer a narrative around the stocktake themes as below:
Highlights and successes
What would you consider to be your most significant area of success, or development since the last quarter? What has contributed to this improvement?
Challenges and concerns
Does the information on National Conditions and Supporting metrics point to any issues or areas of improvement? Are there any new anticipated challenges for the coming quarter?
Potential actions and support
What actions could be taken and what support could be offered to address performance challenges and capitalise on successes for subsequent quarters?

Better Care Fund Template Q2 2016/17

Data Collection Question Completion Checklist

1. Cover

Health and Well Being Board	completed by:	e-mail:	contact number:	Who has signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Well Being Board:
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

2. Budget Arrangements

Funds pooled via a S.75 pooled budget? If not previously stated that the funds had been pooled can you confirm that they have now? If no, date provided?
Yes

3. National Conditions

			7 day services		
	1) Are the plans still jointly agreed?	2) Maintain provision of social care services	3i) Agreement for the delivery of 7-day services across health and social care to prevent unnecessary non-elective admissions to acute settings and to facilitate transfer to alternative care settings when clinically appropriate	3ii) Are support services, both in the hospital and in primary, community and mental health settings available seven days a week to ensure that the next steps in the patient's care pathway, as determined by the daily consultant-led review, can be taken (Standard 9)?	4i) Is the NHS Number being used as the consistent identifier for health and social care services?
Please Select (Yes, No or No - In Progress)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
If the answer is "No" or "No - In Progress" please enter estimated date when condition will be met if not already in place (DD/MM/YYYY)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
If the answer is "No" or "No - In Progress" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the quarter (in-line with signed off plan) and how this is being addressed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

4. I&E (2 parts)

		Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17
Income to	Forecast	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Actual	Yes	Yes	
	Please comment if there is a difference between the annual totals and the pooled fund	Yes		
Expenditure From	Forecast	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Actual	Yes	Yes	
	Please comment if there is a difference between the annual totals and the pooled fund	Yes		
Commentary on progress against financial plan:		Yes		

5. Supporting Metrics

		Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	Commentary on progress
	NEA	Yes	Yes
	DTOC	Yes	Yes
	Local performance metric	Yes	Yes
Patient experience metric	If no metric, please specify	Yes	Yes
	Admissions to residential care	Yes	Yes
	Reablement	Yes	Yes

6. Additional Measures

	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health
NHS Number is used as the consistent identifier on all relevant correspondence relating to the provision of health and care services to an individual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Staff in this setting can retrieve relevant information about a service user's care from their local system using the NHS Number	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

	To GP	To Hospital	To Social Care	To Community	To Mental health
From GP	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
From Hospital	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
From Social Care	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
From Community	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
From Mental Health	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
From Specialised Palliative	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health
Progress status	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projected 'go-live' date (mm/yy)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Is there a Digital Integrated Care Record pilot currently underway in your Health and Wellbeing Board area?
Yes

Total number of PHBs in place at the end of the quarter	Yes
Number of new PHBs put in place during the quarter	Yes
Number of existing PHBs stopped during the quarter	Yes
Of all residents using PHBs at the end of the quarter, what proportion are in receipt of NHS Continuing Healthcare (%)	Yes

Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both health and social care staff) in place and operating in the non-acute setting?	Yes
Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both health and social care staff) in place and operating in the acute setting?	Yes

7. Narrative

Brief Narrative	Yes

Data sharing			
4ii) Are you pursuing open APIs (i.e. systems that speak to each other)?	4iii) Are the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information sharing in line with the revised Caldicott Principles and guidance?	4iv) Have you ensured that people have clarity about how data about them is used, who may have access and how they can exercise their legal rights?	5) Is there a joint approach to assessments and care planning and ensure that, where funding is used for integrated packages of care, there will be an accountable professional
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Q4 2016/17
Yes

Yes

Specialised palliative
Yes
Yes

To Specialised palliative
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

Specialised palliative
Yes
Yes

Cover

Q2 2016/17	

Health and Well Being Board	**Bury**

completed by:	Helen Smith

E-Mail:	h.smith@bury.gov.uk

Contact Number:	0161 253 6338

Who has signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Well Being Board:	Cllr T Holt

Question Completion - when all questions have been answered and the validation boxes below have turned green you should send the template to england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net saving the file as 'Name HWB' for example 'County Durham HWB'

	No. of questions answered
1. Cover	5
2. Budget Arrangements	1
3. National Conditions	36
4. I&E	15
5. Supporting Metrics	13
6. Additional Measures	67
7. Narrative	1

Budget Arrangements

Selected Health and Well Being Board: | Bury

Have the funds been pooled via a s.75 pooled budget?	Yes

If it had not been previously stated that the funds had been pooled can you confirm that they have now?	Please Select

If the answer to the above is 'No' please indicate when this will happen (DD/MM/YYYY)	

Footnotes:

Source: For the S.75 pooled budget question, which is pre-populated, the data is from a previous quarterly collection returned by the HWB.

National Conditions

The Spending Round established six national conditions for access to the Fund.

Please confirm by selecting 'Yes', 'No' or 'No - In Progress' against the relevant condition as to whether these have been met, as per your final BCF plan.

Further details on the conditions are specified below.

If 'No' or 'No - In Progress' is selected for any of the conditions please include an explanation as to why the condition was not met within this quarter (in-line with signed off plan) and how this is being addressed?

Condition (please refer to the detailed definition below)	Q1 Submission Response	Please Select ('Yes', 'No' or 'No - In Progress')	If the answer is "No" or "No - In Progress" please enter estimated date when condition will be met if not already in place (DD/MM/YYYY)	If the answer is "No" or "No - In Progress" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the quarter and how this is being addressed:
1) Plans to be jointly agreed	Yes	Yes		
2) Maintain provision of social care services	Yes	Yes		
3) In respect of 7 Day Services - please confirm:				
i) Agreement for the delivery of 7-day services across health and social care to prevent unnecessary non-elective admissions to acute settings and to facilitate transfer to alternative care settings when clinically appropriate	Yes	Yes		
ii) Are support services, both in the hospital and in primary, community and mental health settings available seven days a week to ensure that the next steps in the patient's care pathway, as determined by the daily consultant-led review, can be taken (Standard 9)?	Yes	Yes		
4) In respect of Data Sharing - please confirm:				
i) Is the NHS Number being used as the consistent identifier for health and social care services?	Yes	Yes		
ii) Are you pursuing Open APIs (ie system that speak to each other)?	Yes	Yes		
iii) Are the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information sharing in line with the revised Caldicott Principles and guidance?	Yes	Yes		
iv) Have you ensured that people have clarity about how data about them is used, who may have access and how they can exercise their legal rights?	Yes	Yes		
5) Ensure a joint approach to assessments and care planning and ensure that, where funding is used for integrated packages of care, there will be an accountable professional	Yes	Yes		
6) Agreement on the consequential impact of the changes on the providers that are predicted to be substantially affected by the plans	Yes	Yes		
7) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out-of-hospital services	Yes	Yes		
8) Agreement on a local target for Delayed Transfers of Care (DTOC) and develop a joint local action plan	Yes	Yes		

National conditions - detailed definitions

The BCF policy framework for 2016-17 and BCF planning guidance sets out eight national conditions for access to the Fund:

1) Plans to be jointly agreed
The Better Care Fund Plan, covering a minimum of the pooled fund specified in the Spending Review, and potentially extending to the totality of the health and care spend in the Health and Wellbeing Board area, should be signed off by the Health and Wellbeing Board itself, and by the constituent Councils and Clinical Commissioning Groups.

In agreeing the plan, Clinical Commissioning Groups and local authorities should engage with health and social care providers likely to be affected by the use of the fund in order to achieve the best outcomes for local people. Furthermore, there should be joint agreement across commissioners and providers on how the Better Care Fund will contribute to a longer term strategic plan. This should include an assessment of future capacity and workforce requirements across the system. The implications for local providers should be set out clearly for Health and Wellbeing Boards so that their agreement for the deployment of the fund includes recognition of the service change consequences. The Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) will again be allocated through the Better Care Fund. Local housing authority representatives should therefore be involved in developing and agreeing the plan, in order to ensure a joined-up approach to improving outcomes across health, social care and housing.

2) Maintain provision of social care services
Local areas must include an explanation of how local adult social care services will continue to be supported within their plans in a manner consistent with 2015-16.

The definition of support should be agreed locally. As a minimum, it should maintain in real terms the level of protection as provided through the mandated minimum element of local Better Care Fund agreements of 2015-16. This reflects the real terms increase in the Better Care Fund.

In setting the level of protection for social care localities should be mindful to ensure that any change does not destabilise the local social and health care system as a whole. This will be assessed compared to 2015-16 figures through the regional assurance process.

It should also be consistent with 2012 Department of Health guidance to NHS England on the funding transfer from the NHS to social care in 2013-14:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/213223/Funding-transfer-from-the-NHS-to-social-care-in-2013-14.pdf

3) Agreement for the delivery of 7-day services across health and social care to prevent unnecessary non-elective (physical and mental health) admissions to acute settings and to facilitate transfer to alternative care settings when clinically appropriate.
Local areas are asked to confirm how their plans will provide 7-day services (throughout the week, including weekends) across community, primary, mental health, and social care in order:

• To prevent unnecessary non-elective admissions (physical and mental health) through provision of an agreed level of infrastructure across out of hospital services 7 days a week;
• To support the timely discharge of patients, from acute physical and mental health settings, on every day of the week, where it is clinically appropriate to do so, avoiding unnecessary delayed discharges of care. If they are not able to provide such plans, they must explain why.

The 10 clinical standards developed by the NHS Services, Seven Days a Week Forum represent, as a whole, best practice for quality care on every day of the week and provide a useful reference for commissioners (https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/clinical-standards1.pdf).
By 2020 all hospital in-patients admitted through urgent and emergency routes in England will have access to services which comply with at least 4 of these standards on every day of the week, namely Standards 2, 5, 6 and 8. For the Better Care Fund, particular consideration should be given to whether progress is being made against Standard 9. This standard highlights the role of support services in the provision of the next steps in a person's care pathway following admission to hospital, as determined by the daily consultant-led review, and the importance of effective relationships between medical and other health

4) Better data sharing between health and social care, based on the NHS number
The appropriate and lawful sharing of data in the best interests of people who use care and support is essential to the provision of safe, seamless care. The use of the NHS number as a consistent identifier is an important element of this, as is progress towards systems and processes that allow the safe and timely sharing of information. It is also vital that the right cultures, behaviours and leadership are demonstrated locally, fostering a culture of secure, lawful and appropriate sharing of data to support better care.

Local areas should:
• confirm that they are using the NHS Number as the consistent identifier for health and care services, and if they are not, when they plan to;
• confirm that they are pursuing interoperable Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) (i.e. systems that speak to each other) with the necessary security and controls (https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/open-api-policy.pdf; and
• ensure they have the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information sharing in line with the revised Caldicott principles and guidance made available by the Information Governance Alliance (IGA), and if not, when they plan for it to be in place.
• ensure that people have clarity about how data about them is used, who may have access and how they can exercise their legal rights. In line with the recommendations from the National Data Guardian review.

The Information Governance Alliance (IGA) is a group of national health and care organisations (including the Department of Health, NHS England, Public Health England and the Health and Social Care Information Centre) working together to provide a joined up and consistent approach to information governance provide access to a central repository guidance on data access issues for the health and care system. See - http://systems.hscic.gov.uk/infogov/iga

5) Ensure a joint approach to assessments and care planning and ensure that, where funding is used for integrated packages of care, there will be an accountable professional

Local areas should identify which proportion of their population will be receiving case management and named care coordinator, and which proportions will be receiving self-management help - following the principles of person-centred care planning. Dementia services will be a particularly important priori better integrated health and social care services, supported by care coordinators, for example dementia advisors.

6) Agreement on the consequential impact of the changes on the providers that are predicted to be substantially affected by the plans

The impact of local plans should be agreed with relevant health and social care providers. Assurance will also be sought on public and patient and service user engagement in this planning, as well as plans for political buy-in. This should complement the planning guidance issued to NHS organisations.

There is agreement that there is much more to be done to ensure mental and physical health are considered equal and better integrated with one another, as well as with other services such as social care. Plans should therefore give due regard to this.

7) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out of hospital services, which may include a wide range of services including social care

Local areas should agree how they will use their share of the £1 billion that had previously been used to create the payment for performance fund.

This should be achieved in one of the following ways:

- To fund NHS commissioned out-of-hospital services, which may include a wide range of services including social care, as part of their agreed Better Care Fund plan; or

- Local areas can choose to put an appropriate proportion of their share of the £1bn into a local risk-sharing agreement as part of contingency planning in the event of excess activity, with the balance spent on NHS commissioned out-of-hospital services, which may include a wide range of services including social care (local areas should seek, as a minimum, to maintain provision of NHS commissioned out of hospital services in a manner consistent with 15-16);

This condition replaces the Payment for Performance scheme included in the 2015-16 Better Care Fund framework.

8) Agreement on local action plan to reduce delayed transfers of care (DTOC)
Given the unacceptable high levels of DTOC currently, the Government is exploring what further action should be taken to address the issue.

As part of this work, under the Better Care Fund, each local area is to develop a local action plan for managing DTOC, including a locally agreed target.

All local areas need to establish their own stretching local DTOC target - agreed between the CCG, Local Authority and relevant acute and community trusts. This target should be reflected in CCG operational plans. The metric for the target should be the same as the national performance metric (average delay transfers of care (delayed days) per 100,000 population (attributable to either NHS, social care or both) per month.

As part of this plan, we want local areas to consider the use of local risk sharing agreements with respect to DTOC, with clear reference to existing guidance and flexibilities. This will be particularly relevant in areas where levels of DTOC are high and rising.

In agreeing the plan, Clinical Commissioning Groups and local authorities should engage with the relevant acute and community trusts and be able to demonstrate that the plan has been agreed with the providers given the need for close joint working on the DTOC issue.

We would expect plans to:

• Set out clear lines of responsibility, accountabilities, and measures of assurance and monitoring;
• Take account of national guidance, particularly the NHS High Impact Interventions for Urgent and Emergency Care, the NHS England Monthly Delayed Transfers of Care Situation Reports Definition and Guidance, and
best practice with regards to reducing DTOC from LGA and ADASS;
• Demonstrate how activities across the whole patient pathway can support improved patient flow and DTOC performance, specifically around admissions avoidance;
• Demonstrate consideration to how all available community capacity within local geographies can be effectively utilised to support safe and effective discharge, with a shared approach to monitoring this capacity;
• Demonstrate how CCGs and Local Authorities are working collaboratively to support sustainable local provider markets, build the right capacity for the needs of the local population, and support the health and care workforce - ideally through joint commissioning and workforce strategies;
• Demonstrate engagement with the independent and voluntary sector providers.

and

as to
ment of
proving

ess is
h and

mely

ance and

ity for

yed

Plan, forecast, and actual figures for total income into, and total expenditure from, the fund for each quarter to year end (in both cases the year-end figures should equal the total pooled fund)

Selected Health and Well Being Board: Bury

Income

Previously returned data:

		Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Annual Total	Pooled Fund
Please provide , plan , forecast, and actual of total income into the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should equal the total pooled fund)	Plan	£4,470,168	£3,047,000	£3,047,000	£3,047,000	£13,611,168	£13,610,619
	Forecast	£4,470,168	£3,047,000	£3,047,000	£3,047,000	£13,611,168	
	Actual*	£4,470,168	■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■				

Q2 2016/17 Amended Data:

		Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Annual Total	Pooled Fund
Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total income into the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should equal the total pooled fund)	Plan	£4,470,168	£3,047,000	£3,047,000	£3,047,000	£13,611,168	£13,610,619
	Forecast	£4,470,168	£3,047,000	£3,047,000	£3,047,000	£13,611,168	
	Actual*	£4,470,168	£3,047,000	■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■			

Please comment if one of the following applies: - There is a difference between the forecasted annual total and the pooled fund - The Q2 actual differs from the Q2 plan and / or Q2 forecast	n/a

Expenditure

Previously returned data:

		Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Annual Total	Pooled Fund
Please provide , plan , forecast, and actual of total income into the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should equal the total pooled fund)	Plan	£3,402,792	£3,402,792	£3,402,792	£3,402,792	£13,611,168	£13,150,000
	Forecast	£3,402,792	£3,402,792	£3,402,792	£3,402,792	£13,611,168	
	Actual*	£106,058	■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■				

Q2 2016/17 Amended Data:

		Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Annual Total	Pooled Fund
Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total expenditure from the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should equal the total pooled fund)	Plan	£3,402,792	£3,402,792	£3,402,792	£3,402,792	£13,611,168	£13,150,000
	Forecast	£3,402,792	£3,402,792	£3,402,792	£3,402,792	£13,611,168	
	Actual*	£106,058	£6,809,196	■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■			

Please comment if one of the following applies: - There is a difference between the forecasted annual total and the pooled fund - The Q2 actual differs from the Q2 plan and / or Q2	The difference between Q2 planned/forecast and Q2 actual is due to timing differences within Revenue budgets during quarter 1 (ie Q2 actual is the net result of a delay in the CCG and Council services recharging the BCF budget during Q1).

Commentary on progress against financial plan:	As at Q2, the 2016/17 BCF budget is forecasting a balanced position.

Footnotes:

*Actual figures should be based on the best available information held by Health and Wellbeing Boards.
Source: For the pooled fund which is pre-populated, the data is from a quarterly collection previously filled in by the HWB. Pre-populated Plan, Forecast and Q1 Actual figures are sourced from the Q1 16/17 return previously submitted by the HWB.

National and locally defined metrics

Selected Health and Well Being Board: Bury

Non-Elective Admissions	Reduction in non-elective admissions
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	No improvement in performance
Commentary on progress:	Non-Elective Admissions is currently slightly above the target for the quarter, with a small increase from last quarter. The actual number for September is the highest number of admissions for the last 15 months. Next quarter it will be possible to see if September's figure is an anomaly or indicative of a general increase in non elective admissions.

Delayed Transfers of Care	Delayed Transfers of Care (delayed days) from hospital per 100,000 population (aged 18+)
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	On track to meet target
Commentary on progress:	Delayed transfers of care are slightly above the target for the quarter, but if they remain stable it is likely the target will be achieved. While there has been a significant increase in September there is a reduction compared to the same time last year.

Local performance metric as described in your approved BCF plan	Crude rate emergency hospital admissions for injuries due to falls per 100,000 population aged 65+
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	On track to meet target
Commentary on progress:	The number of emergency hospital admissions due to falls is currently achieving targeting for the 4th quarter in a row. While there has been an increase this quarter the target has been met and there are less admissions when compared to the same quarter last year.

Local defined patient experience metric as described in your approved BCF plan	Were you involved as much as you wanted to be in decision about your care and support/treatment?
If no local defined patient experience metric has been specified, please give details of the local defined patient experience metric now being used.	
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	Data not available to assess progress
Commentary on progress:	The GP survey has shown a slight increase in how involved patients feel in decisions about their care. While this aspect of the measure indicates that the target will be achieved, the overall percentage was affected by the low number of carers that felt involved in decisions about their care in 2014/15. Carer's survey data will be available in December.

Admissions to residential care	Rate of permanent admissions to residential care per 100,000 population (65+)
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	On track for improved performance, but not to meet full target
Commentary on progress:	The rate of permanent admissions is currently above the target, however overall there has been improvement in this measure. Temporary admissions are included in this measure, until the service user has left residential care because due to changes in circumstances temporary admissions can become permanent. This should reduce the current figures next quarter.

Additional Measures

Selected Health and Well Being Board: | Bury

Improving Data Sharing: (Measures 1-3)

1. Proposed Measure: Use of NHS number as primary identifier across care settings

	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health	Specialised palliative
NHS Number is used as the consistent identifier on all relevant correspondence relating to the provision of health and care services to an individual	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Staff in this setting can retrieve relevant information about a service user's care from their local system using the NHS Number	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes

2. Proposed Measure: Availability of Open APIs across care settings

Please indicate across which settings relevant service-user information is currently being shared digitally (via Open APIs or interim solutions)

	To GP	To Hospital	To Social Care	To Community	To Mental health	To Specialised palliative
From GP	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally
From Hospital	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally
From Social Care	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally
From Community	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally
From Mental Health	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Shared via interim solution	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally
From Specialised Palliative	Shared via interim solution	Shared via interim solution	Shared via interim solution	Not currently shared digitally	Shared via interim solution	Shared via interim solution

In each of the following settings, please indicate progress towards instillation of Open APIs to enable information to be shared with other organisations

	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health	Specialised palliative
Progress status	In development	In development	In development	In development	In development	In development
Projected 'go-live' date (dd/mm/yy)	01/01/17	01/01/17	01/01/17	01/01/17	01/01/17	01/01/17

3. Proposed Measure: Is there a Digital Integrated Care Record pilot currently underway?

Is there a Digital Integrated Care Record pilot currently underway in your Health and Wellbeing Board area?	Pilot being scoped

Other Measures: Measures (4-5)

4. Proposed Measure: Number of Personal Health Budgets per 100,000 population

Total number of PHBs in place at the end of the quarter	16
Rate per 100,000 population	8.5

Number of new PHBs put in place during the quarter	4
Number of existing PHBs stopped during the quarter	0
Of **all** residents using PHBs at the **end** of the quarter, what proportion are in receipt of NHS Continuing Healthcare (%)	100%

Population (Mid 2016)	189,328

5. Proposed Measure: Use and prevalence of Multi-Disciplinary/Integrated Care Teams

Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both health and social care staff) in place and operating in the **non-acute** setting?	Yes - in some parts of Health and Wellbeing Board area
Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both health and social care staff) in place and operating in the **acute** setting?	Yes - in some parts of Health and Wellbeing Board area

Footnotes:

Population projections are based on Subnational Population Projections, Interim 2014-based (published May 2016).
http://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationprojections/datasets/localauthoritiesinenglandz1
Population figures were updated to the mid-year 2016 estimates as we moved into the new calendar year.

Narrative

Selected Health and Well Being Board: Bury

Remaining Characters	31,751

Please provide a brief narrative on overall progress, reflecting on performance in Q2 16/17. A recommendation would be to offer a narrative around the stocktake themes as below:

Highlights and successes

What would you consider to be your most significant area of success, or development since the last quarter? What has contributed to this improvement?

Challenges and concerns

Does the information on National Conditions and Supporting metrics point to any issues or areas of improvement? Are there any new anticipated challenges for the coming quarter?

Potential actions and support

What actions could be taken and what support could be offered to address performance challenges and capitalise on successes for subsequent quarters?

Highlights and Successes:

We are on track with regards to the performance of the BCF metrics with with reablement after 91 days, DTOC and falls tracking well at Q2. We have developed a new local performance monitoring system of the metrics which is allowing us to track them monthly throughout the year and then take action when required. This has been a successful development at Q2 and is prompting effective multiagency discussions around performance.

Challenges and Outcomes:

We are currently meeting all of the national conditions so there are no areas for concern. The performance monitoring mentioned above has highlighted some potential areas for NEL and residential admissions that we will like to monitor for the remaining quarters to keep on track for year end.

Potential actions and support:

As Bury is currently preparing for a bid to the NHS Transformation fund as part of GM Health and Social Care Devolution all actions and support required for the BCF will be included in the planning for this.